## Appendix 3

Ocker Hill Academy Science Planning, Teaching and Assessment Expectations

Subject Science	Year Group	5GB	Date	29-11-18	Term monitored 1	Moderated by: Science Lead
Marking Element	Statement	Notes: Books – Emme, Lotte, Hitten				
Has the Medium term plan been annotated to show expected coverage?	No: Yes:	No WS annotated, yet I know you have done this from the books.				
Are all of the knowledge objectives for each topic planned to be taught?	No Yes	Perhaps a <i>bit</i> more detail eg Lesson 1 ( or even L1)				
Are Working Scientifically objectives specifically planned to be taught?	No: Yes:	Are you planning to use the new TAPs resources? For instance, the Y5 Aquadynamics one would have guided you to realising that your Blu tac in water experiment also gives evidence for WS 'explain degree of trust in results'				
Does the evidence (books/tests etc) show that the above objectives were actually taught?	No: Yes:					
Does each topic start with a review of prior learning e.g. a KWL grid / Diagnostic test	No Yes:					
Are the new science assessment grids being used?	No Yes:	Just a reminder-we depth).	(you me	e and Sarah) agre	ed to use TAR (towards age re	elated); AR (age related) and GD (greater
Does the evidence (books/tests etc) match the assessment grids?	No Yes:	reply 17-11-18 – sl	hould be	aiming for this.	e.g.8-10-18 perhaps a follow u	Emme-who from speaking to her and her GT  p 'How might this information affect plans to - 'Which force would never change? Why?'